

TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR AN EXPENDITURE VERIFICATION OF A GRANT CONTRACT

- EXTERNAL ACTIONS OF THE EUROPEAN UNION -

The following are the terms of reference ('ToR') on which *Pink Life LGBTT Solidarity Association* 'the Beneficiary' agrees to engage *Ulusal Bağımsız Denetim ve Y.M.M. A.Ş.* 'the Auditor' to perform an expenditure verification and to report in connection with a European Union financed grant contract for external actions concerning "Don't Hate Me!" EIDHR/2013/335-271 (the 'Grant Contract'). Where in these ToR the 'Contracting Authority' is mentioned this refers to *The European Union, represented by the European Commission* which has signed the Grant Contract with the Beneficiary and is providing the grant funding. The Contracting Authority is not a party to this agreement.

1. RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PARTIES TO THE ENGAGEMENT

'The **Beneficiary**' refers to the organisation that is receiving the grant funding and that has signed the Grant Contract with the Contracting Authority.

- The Beneficiary is responsible for providing a Financial Report for the action financed by the Grant Contract which complies with the terms and conditions of the Grant Contract and for ensuring that this Financial Report can be reconciled to the Beneficiary's accounting and bookkeeping system and to the underlying accounts and records. The Beneficiary is responsible for providing sufficient and adequate information, both financial and non-financial, in support of the Financial Report.
- The Beneficiary accepts that the ability of the Auditor to perform the procedures required by this engagement effectively depends upon the Beneficiary, and as the case may be his partners, providing full and free access to the Beneficiary's staff and its accounting and bookkeeping system and underlying accounts and records.
- 'The **Auditor**' is responsible for performing the agreed-upon procedures as specified in these ToR, and for submitting a report of factual findings to the Beneficiary. 'Auditor' refers to the audit firm contracted for this engagement and in particular to the partner or other person in the audit firm who is responsible for the engagement and for the report that is issued on behalf of the firm, and who has the appropriate authority from a professional, legal or regulatory body.

By agreeing these ToR the Auditor confirms that he/she meets at least one of the following conditions:

- The Auditor and/or the firm is a member of a national accounting or auditing body or institution which in turn is member of the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC).
- The Auditor and/or the firm is a member of a national accounting or auditing body or institution. Although this organisation is not member of the IFAC, the Auditor commits him/herself to undertake this engagement in accordance with the IFAC standards and ethics set out in these ToR.
- The Auditor and/or the firm is registered as a statutory auditor in the public register of a public oversight body in an EU member state in accordance with the principles of public



oversight set out in Directive 2006/43/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (this applies to auditors and audit firms based in an EU member state¹).

- The Auditor and/or the firm is registered as a statutory auditor in the public register of a public oversight body in a third country and this register is subject to principles of public oversight as set out in the legislation of the country concerned (this applies to auditors and audit firms based in a third country).

2. SUBJECT OF THE ENGAGEMENT

The subject of this engagement is the final Financial Report in connection with the Grant Contract for the period covering *01.02.2014 to 31.01.2016* and the action entitled *Don't Hate Me!*, the 'Action'. Annex 1 to these ToR contains information about the Grant Contract.

3. REASON FOR THE ENGAGEMENT

The Beneficiary is required to submit to the Contracting Authority an expenditure verification report produced by an external auditor in support of the payment requested by the Beneficiary under Article 15 of the General Conditions of the Grant Contract. The Authorising Officer of the Commission requires this report as he makes the payment of expenditure requested by the Beneficiary conditional on the factual findings of this report.

4. ENGAGEMENT TYPE AND OBJECTIVE

This expenditure verification is an engagement to perform certain agreed-upon procedures with regard to the Financial Report for the Grant Contract. The objective of this expenditure verification is for the Auditor to carry out the specific procedures listed in Annex 2A to these ToR and to submit to the Beneficiary a report of factual findings with regard to the specific verification procedures performed. Verification means that the Auditor examines the factual information in the Financial Report of the Beneficiary and compares it with the terms and conditions of the Grant Contract. As this engagement is not an assurance engagement the Auditor does not provide an audit opinion and expresses no assurance. The Contracting Authority assesses for itself the factual findings reported by the Auditor and draws its own conclusions from these factual findings.

5. STANDARDS AND ETHICS

The Auditor shall undertake this engagement in accordance with:

- the International Standard on Related Services ('ISRS') 4400 Engagements to perform Agreed-upon Procedures regarding Financial Information as promulgated by the IFAC;



¹ Directive 2006/43 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 147 May 2006 on statutory audits of annual accounts and consolidated, amending Council Directives 78/660/EEC and 83/349/EEC and repealing Council Directive 84/253 EEC.

- the IFAC Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (developed and issued by IFAC's International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA), which establishes fundamental ethical principles for Auditors with regard to integrity, objectivity, independence, professional competence and due care, confidentiality, professional behaviour and technical standards. Although ISRS 4400 provides that independence is not a requirement for agreed-upon procedures engagements, the Contracting Authority requires that the Auditor is independent from the Beneficiary and complies with the independence requirements of the IFAC Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants.

6. PROCEDURES, EVIDENCE AND DOCUMENTATION

The Auditor plans the work so that an effective expenditure verification can be performed. The Auditor performs the procedures listed in Annex 2A of these ToR ('Listing of specific procedures to be performed') and applies the guidelines in Annex 2B (Guidelines for specific procedures to be performed). The evidence to be used for performing the procedures in Annex 2A is all financial and non-financial information which makes it possible to examine the expenditure claimed by the Beneficiary in the Financial Report. The Auditor uses the evidence obtained from these procedures as the basis for the report of factual findings. The Auditor documents matters which are important in providing evidence to support the report of factual findings, and evidence that the work was carried out in accordance with ISRS 4400 and these ToR.

7. REPORTING

The report on this expenditure verification should describe the purpose, the agreed-upon procedures and the factual findings of the engagement in sufficient detail in order to enable the Beneficiary and the Contracting Authority to understand the nature and extent of the procedures performed by the Auditor and the factual findings reported by the Auditor.

The use of the Model Report for an Expenditure Verification of an EU Grant Contract in Annex 3 of these ToR is compulsory. This report should be provided by the Auditor to *Pink Life LGBTT Solidarity Association* within 30 (thirty) working days after the day of signature of these ToR.

8. OTHER TERMS

The fee for this engagement shall be 4.497,00 Euros.



- Annex 1** Information about the Grant Contract
- Annex 2A** Listing of specific procedures to be performed
- Annex 2B** Guidelines for specific procedures to be performed
- Annex 3** Model report for an expenditure verification of an EU grant contract

For the Beneficiary:

Pink Life LGBTT Solidarity Association

For the Auditor:

Ulusal Bağımsız Denetim ve Yeminli Mali
Müşavirlik A.Ş.

Ömer Necdet AYDEMİR, CPA

30 March 2016



Annex 1 Information about the Grant Contract

Information about the Grant Contract	
Reference number and date of the Grant Contract	EIDHR/2013/335-271, 30.12.2013
Grant contract title	Don't Hate Me!
Country	Turkey
Beneficiary	Pink Life LGBTT Solidarity Association
Budget line Contract	EIDHR/2013/335-271, 30.12.2013
Legal basis for the Contract	External Actions Of The European Union
Start date of the Action	01.02.2014
End date of the Action	31.01.2016
Total cost of the Action	149.900,58 €
Grant maximum amount	142.000,00 €
Total amount received to date by the Beneficiary from Contracting Authority	55.900,67 €, 29.01.2014 71.899,33 €, 24.06.2015
Total amount of the payment request	149.978,76 €
Contracting Authority	The European Union, represented by the European Commission Hansjörg HABER Uğur Mumcu Cd. No:88, 4 th Floor, Gaziosmanpaşa, 06700, Ankara, Turkey Telephone: (+90) (312) 459 87 00 Fax: (+90) (312) 446 67 37
Auditor	Ulusal Bağımsız Denetim ve Yeminli Mali Müşavirlik A.Ş. İstanbul Vizyon Park C2 Blok K:6 No:195-196 Yenibosna, Bahçelievler/İSTANBUL CPA Y.M.M. Ömer Necdet AYDEMİR Senior Auditor S.M.M.M U.Taylan AYDEMİR Auditor S.M.M.M Z.Deniz ŞENALP Auditor S.M.M.M Yasin ERAYDIN



Annex 2A Listing of Specific Procedures to be performed

1. GENERAL PROCEDURES

1.1. Terms and Conditions of the Grant Contract

The Auditor obtains an understanding of the terms and conditions of the Grant Contract by reviewing the Grant Contract and its annexes and other relevant information, and by inquiry of the Beneficiary. The Auditor obtains a copy of the original Grant Contract (signed by the Beneficiary and the Contracting Authority) with its annexes. The Auditor obtains and reviews the Report (which includes a narrative and a financial section) as per Article 2.1 of the General Conditions.

1.2. Financial Report for the Grant Contract

- The Auditor verifies that the Financial Report complies with the following conditions of Article 2 of the General Conditions the Grant Contract:
- The Financial Report must conform to the model in Annex VI of the Grant Contract;
- The Financial Report should cover the Action as a whole, regardless of which part of it is financed by the Contracting Authority;
- The Financial Report should be drawn up in the language of the Grant Contract;
- The proof of the transfers of ownership of equipment, vehicles and supplies (Article 7.3 of the General Conditions of the Grant Contract) should be annexed to the final Financial Report.

1.3. Rules for Accounting and Record keeping

- The Auditor examines – when performing the procedures listed in this Annex - whether the Beneficiary has complied with the following rules for accounting and record keeping of Article 16 of the General Conditions the Grant Contract:
- The accounts kept by the Beneficiary for the implementation of the Action must be accurate and up-to-date;
- The Beneficiary must have a double-entry book-keeping system;
- The accounts and expenditure relating to the Action must be easily identifiable and verifiable;
- The accounts must provide details of interest accrued on funds paid by the Contracting Authority.

1.4. Reconciling the Financial Report to the Beneficiary's Accounting System and Records

The Auditor reconciles the information in the Financial Report to the Beneficiary's accounting system and records (e.g. trial balance, general ledger accounts, sub ledgers etc.) (See Article 16.1).



1.5. Exchange Rates

The Auditor verifies that amounts of expenditure incurred in a currency other than the Euro have been converted at the exchange rate which is made up of the average of the rates published in InforEuro for the months covered by the Financial Report, unless otherwise provided in the Special Conditions of the Grant Contract (Article 15.8 of the General Conditions)

2. PROCEDURES TO VERIFY CONFORMITY OF EXPENDITURE WITH THE BUDGET AND ANALYTICAL REVIEW

2.1. Budget of the Grant Contract

The Auditor carries out an analytical review of the expenditure headings in the Financial Report.

The Auditor verifies that the budget in the Financial Report corresponds with the budget of the Grant Contract (authenticity and authorisation of the initial budget) and that the expenditure incurred was indicated in the budget of the Grant Contract.

2.2. Amendments to the Budget of the Grant Contract

The Auditor verifies whether there have been amendments to the budget of the Grant Contract. Where this is the case the Auditor verifies that the Beneficiary has:

- requested an amendment to budget and obtained an addendum to the Grant Contract if such an addendum was required (Article 9.1 of the General Conditions).
- informed the Contracting Authority about the amendment in case the amendment was limited (Article 9.2 of the General Conditions) and an addendum to the Grant Contract was not required.

3. PROCEDURES TO VERIFY SELECTED EXPENDITURE

3.1. Eligibility of Costs

The Auditor verifies, for each expenditure item selected, the eligibility criteria set out below.

(1) Costs actually incurred (Article 14.1)


The Auditor verifies that the expenditure for a selected item was actually incurred by and pertains to the Beneficiary. For this purpose the Auditor examines supporting documents (e.g. invoices, contracts) and proof of payment. The Auditor also examines proof of work done, goods received or services rendered and he/she verifies the existence of assets if applicable.

(2) Cut-off - Implementation period (Article 14.1a)

The Auditor verifies that the expenditure for a selected item was incurred during the implementation period of the Action.

(3) Budget (Article 14.1b)

The Auditor verifies that the expenditure for a selected item was indicated in the Action budget.



(4) *Necessary (Article 14.1c)*

The Auditor verifies whether it is plausible that the expenditure for a selected item was necessary for the implementation of the Action and that it had to be incurred for the contracted activities of the Action by examining the nature of the expenditure with supporting documents.

(5) *Records (Article 14.1d)*

The Auditor verifies that expenditure for a selected item is recorded in the Beneficiary's accounting system and was recorded in accordance with the applicable accounting standards of the country where the Beneficiary is established and the Beneficiary's usual cost accounting practices.

(6) *Justified (Article 14.1e)*

The Auditor verifies that expenditure for a selected item is substantiated by evidence (see section 1 of Annex 2B, Guidelines for Specific Procedures to be performed) and notably the supporting documents as specified in Article 16.2 and 16.3 of the General Conditions of the Grant Contract.

(7) *Valuation*

The Auditor verifies that the monetary value of a selected expenditure item agrees with underlying documents (e.g. invoices, salary statements) and that correct exchange rates are used where applicable.

(8) *Classification*

The Auditor examines the nature of the expenditure for a selected item and verifies that the expenditure item has been classified under the correct (sub)heading of the Financial Report.

(9) *Compliance with Procurement, Nationality and Origin Rules*

Where applicable the Auditor examines which procurement, nationality and origin rules apply for a certain expenditure (sub)heading, a class of expenditure items or an expenditure item. The Auditor verifies whether the expenditure was incurred in accordance with such rules by examining the underlying documents of the procurement and purchase process. Where the Auditor finds issues of non-compliance with procurement rules, he/she reports the nature of such events as well as their financial impact in terms of ineligible expenditure. When examining procurement documentation the Auditor takes into account the risk indicators listed in Annex 2B and he/she reports, if applicable, which of these indicators were found.

3.2. Eligibility of Direct Costs (Article 14.2)

If the expenditure for a selected item is recorded under one of the direct costs headings 1 to 6 of the Financial Report, the Auditor verifies that this type of expenditure is covered by the direct costs as defined in Article 14.2 by examining the nature of the expenditure items concerned.

3.3. Provision for Contingency Reserve (Article 14.3)

The Auditor verifies that the provision for contingency reserve (heading 8 Financial Report) does not exceed 5% of the direct eligible costs of the Action and that the Beneficiary has obtained prior written authorisation of the Contracting Authority for the use of this contingency reserve.



3.4. Administrative costs (Article 14.4)

The Auditor verifies that the indirect costs to cover the administrative overheads (heading 10 Financial Report) do not exceed 7% of the total amount of eligible direct costs of the Action.

3.5. Contributions in kind (Article 14.5)

The Auditor verifies that costs in the Financial Report do not include contributions in kind. Contributions in kind are not eligible costs.

3.6. Ineligible costs (Article 14.6)

The Auditor verifies that the expenditure for a selected item does not concern an ineligible cost as described in Article 14.6 of the General Conditions. The Auditor verifies whether expenditure includes certain taxes, including VAT. If this is the case the Auditor verifies that the Beneficiary (or, where applicable the partners) cannot reclaim these taxes through an exemption system and/or a refund *a posteriori*. If this is the case, taxes can be considered as eligible costs, provided that the basic act which finances the EU-contribution does not exclude payment of taxes.

3.7. Accepted costs

Where the basic act which finances the EU-contribution excludes taxes as eligible costs, these taxes may nevertheless be taken into consideration as co-financing by the grant beneficiary. The Auditor verifies that the beneficiary (or, where applicable, the partners) cannot reclaim these taxes through an exemption system and/or a refund *a posteriori*.

3.8. Revenues of the Action

The Auditor examines whether revenues which should be attributed to the Action (including inter alia grants and funding received from other donors and other revenue generated by the Beneficiary in the context of the Action such as for example interest earned) have been allocated to the Action and disclosed in the Financial Report. For this purpose the Auditor inquires with the Beneficiary and examines documentation obtained from the Beneficiary. The Auditor is not expected to examine the completeness of the revenues reported.



Annex 2B Guidelines for Specific Procedures to be performed

1. VERIFICATION EVIDENCE

When performing the specific procedures listed in Annex 2A the Auditor may apply techniques such as inquiry and analysis, (re)computation, comparison, other clerical accuracy checks, observation, inspection of records and documents, inspection of assets and obtaining confirmations.

The Auditor obtains verification evidence from these procedures to draw up his report of factual findings. Verification evidence is all information used by the Auditor in arriving at the factual findings and it includes the information contained in the accounting records underlying the Financial Report and other information (financial and non-financial).

The contractual requirements that relate to verification evidence are:

- Expenditure should be identifiable, verifiable and recorded in the accounting records of the Beneficiary (Article 14.1.d) of the General Conditions of the Grant Contract);
- Expenditure must be easily identifiable and verifiable and traced to and within the Beneficiary's accounting and bookkeeping systems (Article 16.1 of the General Conditions);
- The Beneficiary will allow any external auditor to carry out verifications on the basis of supporting documents for the accounts, accounting documents and any other document relevant to the financing of the Action. The Beneficiary gives access to all documents and databases concerning the technical and financial management of the Action (Article 16.2 of the General Conditions);
- Article 16.3 of the General Conditions of the Grant Contract provides a list of the types and nature of evidence that the Auditor will often find in expenditure verifications.

Moreover, for the purpose of the procedures listed in Annex 2A, evidence:

- Must be available in documentary form, whether paper, electronic or other medium (e.g. a written record of a meeting is more reliable than an oral presentation of the matters discussed);
- Must be available in the form of original documents rather than photocopies or facsimiles;
- Should preferably be obtained from independent sources outside the entity (an original suppliers invoice or contract is more reliable than an internally approved receipt note);
- Which is generated internally is more reliable if it has been subject to control and approval;
- Obtained directly by the Auditor (e.g. inspection of assets) is more reliable than evidence obtained indirectly (e.g. inquiry about the asset).

If the Auditor finds that the above criteria for evidence are not sufficiently met, he/she should detail this in the factual findings.



2. OBTAINING AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE GRANT CONTRACT (ANNEX 2A - PROCEDURE 1.1)

The Auditor obtains an understanding of the terms and conditions of the Grant Contract and he/she should pay particular attention to Annex I of the Grant Contract, which contains the Description of the Action, Annex II (General Conditions) and Annex IV, which provides rules for procurement (including nationality and origin rules) by grant beneficiaries in the context of EU external actions. Failure to comply with these rules makes expenditure ineligible for EU financing. These procurement rules apply to all grant contracts but depending on the legal basis for the Grant Contract (e.g. TACIS, ALA, Food Aid and Development Co-operation Instrument) nationality and origin rules may vary. The Auditor ensures with the Beneficiary that the applicable nationality and origin rules are identified and understood. Applicable rules of nationality and origin are set out, for each legal basis, in Annex A2 to the Practical Guide² to contract procedures for external actions of the European Communities.

If the Auditor finds that the terms and conditions to be verified are not sufficiently clear he should request clarification from the Beneficiary.

3. SELECTING EXPENDITURE FOR VERIFICATION (ANNEX 2A - PROCEDURES 3.1 – 3.7)

The expenditure claimed by the Beneficiary in the Financial Report is presented under the following expenditure headings: 1 Human Resources, 2 Travel, 3 Equipment and Supplies, 4 Local office, 5 Other costs, services, 6 Other, 8 Provision for contingency reserve and 10 Administrative costs. Expenditure headings 1 to 6 represent direct costs of the Action. Expenditure headings can be broken down into expenditure subheadings such as for example 1.1 Salaries.

Expenditure subheadings can be broken down into individual expenditure items or classes of expenditure items with the same or similar characteristics. The form and nature of the supporting evidence (e.g. a payment, a contract, an invoice etc) and the way expenditure is recorded (i.e. journal entries) vary with the type and nature of the expenditure and the underlying actions or transactions. However, in all cases expenditure items should reflect the accounting (or financial) value of underlying actions or transactions no matter the type and nature of the action or transaction concerned.


Value should be the principal factor used by the Auditor to select expenditure items or classes of expenditure items for verification. The Auditor selects high value expenditure items to ensure an appropriate coverage of expenditure.

4. VERIFICATION COVERAGE OF EXPENDITURE (ANNEX 2A - PROCEDURES 3.1 – 3.7)

The Auditor applies the principles and criteria set out below when planning and performing the specific verification procedures for selected expenditure in Annex 2A (procedures 3.1 – 3.7).

Verification by the Auditor and verification coverage of expenditure items does not necessarily mean a complete and exhaustive verification of all the expenditure items that are included in a specific expenditure heading or subheading. The Auditor should ensure a systematic and representative verification. Depending on certain conditions (see further below) the Auditor may

² Practical Guide (applicable for Budget and Edf) and annexes for Budget and EDF see A.Ş. http://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/work/procedures/implementation/practical_guide/index_en.htm



obtain sufficient verification results for an expenditure heading or subheading by looking at a limited number of selected expenditure items.

The Auditor may apply statistical sampling techniques for the verification of one or more expenditure headings or subheadings of the Financial Report. The Auditor examines whether 'populations' (i.e. expenditure subheadings or classes of expenditure items within expenditure subheadings) are suitable and sufficiently large (i.e. are made up of large numbers of items) for effective statistical sampling.

If applicable the Auditor should explain in the report of factual findings for which headings or subheadings of the Financial Report sampling has been applied, the method used, the results obtained and whether the sample is representative.

The Expenditure Coverage Ratio ('ECR') represents the total amount of expenditure verified by the Auditor expressed as a percentage of the total amount of expenditure reported by the Beneficiary in the Financial Report and claimed by the Beneficiary for deduction from the total sum of pre-financing under the Grant Contract. This amount is reported in Annex V of the Grant Contract.

The Auditor ensures that the overall ECR is at least **65%**. If he finds an exception rate of less than 10% of the total amount of expenditure verified (i.e. 6,5 %) the Auditor finalises the verification procedures and continues with reporting.

If the exception rate found is higher than 10% the Auditor extends verification procedures until the ECR is at least **85%**. The Auditor then finalises verification procedures and continues with reporting regardless of the total exception rate found. The Auditor ensures that the **ECR for each expenditure heading and subheading** in the Financial Report is at least **10%**.

5. PROCEDURES TO VERIFY SELECTED EXPENDITURE (ANNEX 2A - PROCEDURES 3.1 – 3.7)

The Auditor verifies the selected expenditure items by carrying out procedures 3.1 - 3.7 listed in Annex 2A and reports all the factual findings and exceptions resulting from these procedures. Verification exceptions are all verification deviations found when performing the procedures set out in Annex 2A.

The Auditor quantifies the amount of the verification exception found and the potential impact on the EU contribution, should the Commission declare the expenditure item(s) concerned ineligible (where applicable taking into account the percentage of funding of the Commission and the impact on indirect expenditure (e.g. administrative costs)). The Auditor reports all exceptions found including the ones of which he cannot quantify the amount of the verification exception found and the potential impact on the EU contribution.

For example: if the Auditor finds an exception of 1.000 € with regard to procurement rules for a grant contract where the EU finances 60% of the expenditure and where administrative costs of 7% of total direct eligible expenses are foreseen, the Auditor reports an exception of 1.000 € and a financial impact of 642 € (1.000 € x 60% x 1.07).

Specific guidance for procedure 3.1.9 Compliance with Procurement, Nationality and Origin Rules



The Auditor should verify whether the expenditure for a selected item was incurred in accordance with the applicable procurement, nationality and origin rules by examining the underlying documents of the procurement and purchase process. Such documents relate to the opening of tenders, the assessment of the eligibility of tenderers and conformity of tenders, the evaluation of the offers and the decisions with regard to the awarding of the contract. When examining these procurement documents the Auditor takes into account the risk indicators listed at the end of this Annex and he reports, if applicable, which of these indicators were identified.

Specific guidance for procedure 3.5 Contributions in kind

The Auditor should verify that expenditure in the Financial Report does not include any contributions in kind. If contributions in kind are foreseen they must be indicated and valued in the Action budget. If this is not the case contributions in kind are not eligible. Examples: salaries of staff detached by a Ministry which is not part of the action or equipment and material received from organisations which do not participate in the Action.



RISK INDICATORS PROCUREMENT

- Inconsistencies in the dates of the documents or illogical sequence of dates. Examples:
 - Offer dated after the award of contract or before the sending of the invitations to tender
 - Offer of the winning tenderer dated before the publication date of the tender or dated significantly later than offers of other tenderers
 - Offers of different candidates participating in the same tenders all having the same date
 - Dates on documents not plausible/consistent with dates on accompanying documentation (e.g. date on the offer not plausible/consistent with the postal date on the envelope; date of a fax not plausible/consistent with the printed date of the fax machine)
- Unusual similarities in offers of candidates participating in the same tender. Examples:
 - Same wording, sentences and terminology in offers of different tenderers
 - Same layout and format (e.g. font type, font size, margin sizes, indents, paragraph wrapping, etc) in offers of different tenderers
 - Similar letterhead paper or logos
 - Same prices used in offers of different tenderers for a number of subcomponents or line items
 - Identical grammatical, orthographical or typing errors in offers of different tenderers
 - Use of similar stamps and similarities in signatures
- Financial statement or other information indicating that two tenderers participating in the same tender are related or part of a same group (e.g. where financial statements are provided, the notes to the financial statements may disclose ultimate ownership of the group. Ownership information may also be found in public registers for accounts)
- Inconsistencies in the selection and award decision process. Examples:
 - Award decisions not plausible / consistent with selection and award criteria
 - Errors in the application of the selection and award criteria
 - A regular supplier of the beneficiary participates as a member of a tender evaluation committee
- Other elements and examples indicating a risk of privileged relationship with tenderers:
 - A same tenderer (or small group of tenderers) is invited to different tenders with unusual frequency
 - A same tenderer (or small group of tenderers) wins an unusually high proportion of the bids
 - A tenderer is frequently awarded contracts for different types of goods or services
 - The winning tenderer invoices additional goods not foreseen in the offer (e.g. additional spare parts invoiced without clear justification, installation costs invoiced while not foreseen in the offer).
- Other documentation, issues and examples indicating a risk of irregularities:
 - Use of photocopies instead of original documents
 - Use of pro-forma invoices as supporting documents instead of official invoices
 - Manual changes on original documents (e.g. figures manually changed, figures "tippexed", etc)
 - Use of non-official documents (e.g. letterhead paper not showing certain official and/or compulsory information such as commercial registry number, company tax number, etc.)





Report for an Expenditure Verification of a Grant Contract

External Actions of the European Union

Don't Hate Me! - EIDHR/2013/335-271

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REPORT OF FACTUAL FINDINGS.....	16
1. INFORMATION ABOUT THE GRANT CONTRACT	19
2. PROCEDURES PERFORMED AND FACTUAL FINDINGS	20
3. PROCEDURES TO VERIFY SELECTED EXPENDITURE..	20



Report of Factual Findings

Mr. Hansjörg HABER, Ambassador, Head of Delegation

Pink Life LGBTT Solidarity Association

Ataç 1 Sokak No:3/8 Kızılay, Ankara

30 March 2016

Dear Mr. Hansjörg HABER, Ambassador, Head of Delegation

In accordance with the terms of reference dated 10.03.2016 that you agreed with us, we provide our Report of Factual Findings ("the Report"), with respect to the accompanying Financial Report for the period covering 01.02.2014 – 31.01.2016 (Annex 1 of this Report). You requested certain procedures to be carried out in connection with your Financial Report and the European Union financed Grant Contract concerning *Don't Hate Me!*, EIDHR/2013/335-271, the 'Grant Contract'.

Objective

Our engagement was an expenditure verification which is an engagement to perform certain agreed-upon procedures with regard to the Financial Report for the Grant Contract between you and *The European Union, represented by the European Commission* the 'Contracting Authority'. The objective of this expenditure verification is for us to carry out certain procedures to which we have agreed and to submit to you a report of factual findings with regard to the procedures performed.

Standards and Ethics

Our engagement was undertaken in accordance with:

- International Standard on Related Services ('ISRS') 4400 *Engagements to perform Agreed-upon Procedures regarding Financial Information* as promulgated by the International Federation of Accountants ('IFAC');
- the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* issued by the IFAC. Although ISRS 4400 provides that independence is not a requirement for agreed-upon procedures engagements, the Contracting Authority requires that the auditor also complies with the independence requirements of the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants*;

Procedures performed


As requested, we have only performed the procedures listed in Annex 2A of the terms of reference for this engagement (see Annex 2 of this Report).

These procedures have been determined solely by the Contracting Authority and the procedures were performed solely to assist the Contracting Authority in evaluating whether the expenditure claimed by you in the accompanying Financial Report is eligible in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Grant Contract.

Because the procedures performed by us did not constitute either an audit or a review made in accordance with International Standards on Auditing or International Standards on Review Engagements, we do not express any assurance on the accompanying Financial Report.

April 2015

Page 16 of 20



Information about the Grant Contract

The Grant Contracts Number	: EIDHR/2013/335-271
Beneficiary	: Pink Life LGBTT Solidarity Association
Contracting Authority	: The European Union, represented by the European Commission
The Action	: Don't Hate Me!
Period	: 01.02.2014 – 31.01.2016
The total cost of the Action	: 149.978,76 €

Procedures performed and Factual Findings

We have performed the specific procedures listed in Annex 2A of the terms of reference for the expenditure verification of the Grant Contract ('ToR') . These procedures are:

1. General Procedures
2. Procedures to verify conformity of Expenditure with the Budget and Analytical Review
3. Procedures to verify selected Expenditure

We have applied the rules for selection of expenditure and the principles and criteria for verification coverage as set out in Annex 2B (sections 3 and 4) of the ToR for this expenditure verification.

The total expenditure verified by us amounts to 149.978,76 € and is summarised in the table below. The overall Expenditure Coverage Ratio is %94,73.

We have verified the selected expenditure as shown in the above summary table and we have carried out, for each expenditure item selected, the verification procedures specified at point 3.1 to 3.7 of Annex 2A of the ToR for this expenditure verification. We report our factual findings resulting from these procedures below.

1. GENERAL PROCEDURES

1.1. Terms and Conditions of the Grant Contract

We have obtained an understanding of the terms and conditions of this Grant Contract in accordance with the guidelines in Annex 2B (section 2) of the ToR.

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

1.2. Financial Report for the Grant Contract

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

1.3. Rules for Accounting and Record keeping

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.



1.4. Reconciling the Financial Report to the Beneficiary's Accounting System and Records

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

1.5. Exchange Rates

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

2. PROCEDURES TO VERIFY CONFORMITY OF EXPENDITURE WITH THE BUDGET AND ANALYTICAL REVIEW

2.1. Budget of the Grant Contract

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

2.2. Amendments to the Budget of the Grant Contract

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

3. PROCEDURES TO VERIFY SELECTED EXPENDITURE

We have reported further below all the exceptions resulting from the verification procedures specified at point 3.1 – to 3.7 of Annex 2A of the ToR for this expenditure verification insofar these procedures did apply to the selected expenditure item.

We have quantified the amount of the verification exceptions found and the potential impact on the EU contribution, should the Commission declare the expenditure item(s) concerned ineligible (where applicable taking into account the percentage of funding of the Commission and the impact on indirect expenditure (e.g. administrative costs)). We have reported all exceptions found including the ones of which we cannot quantify the amount of the verification exception found and the potential impact on the EU contribution.

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

3.1. Eligibility of Costs

We have verified, for each expenditure item selected, the eligibility criteria set out at procedure 3.1 in Annex 2A of the ToR for this expenditure verification.

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

3.2. Eligibility of Direct Costs (Article 14.2)

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

3.3. Provision for Contingency Reserve (Article 14.3)

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.



3.4. Administrative costs (Article 14.4)

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

3.5. Contributions in kind (Article 14.5)

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

3.6. Non-eligible costs (Article 14.6)

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

3.7. Accepted costs

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

3.8. Revenues of the Action

No factual findings have arisen from this procedure.

Annex 1 Financial Report for the Grant Contract

Spending Sections		Contract Budget (€)	Amount Spent (€)	Balance (€)
1	Human Resources	108.558,68 €	108.629,75 €	(71,07) €
2	Travel	15.037,46 €	15.046,05 €	(8,59) €
3	Equipment and Materials	2.441,90 €	2.441,90 €	-
4	Local Office	3.600,00 €	3.597,13 €	2,87 €
5	Other Costs, Services	20.262,54 €	20.263,93 €	(1,39) €
6	Other	-	-	-
7	Direct Project Costs (Sub Total 1-6)	-	-	-
7.01	Reserve Fund (5% of the direct cost)	-	-	-
8	Administrative Costs	-	-	-
9	Total Project Costs: (7+8)	149.900,58 €	149.978,76 €	(78,18) €

